·····	Wt.	Min. centrifuged	%	
	.8091	10	84.2	
	.5285	10	87.6	
	.6356	10	87.0	
	.7110	15	83.6	
	.5630	15	83.9	
	.6348	15	83.7	
	.5960	15*	85.3	
	.6232	15*	85.3	
	.4224	10	83.9	
	.4224	10	85.4	
	.8448	10	84.6	
	.8448	10	85.0	
	1.2673	10	84.2	
	1.2673	iŏ	84.4	
	1.6897	10	85.9	

* Centrifuged 8 min., stopped 2 min., then centrifuged for 7 more min. No correction for ppt. volume. Average = 84.9 Average

H. C. BENNETT:

83.96 - 82.06 - 84.42 - 82.31 - 82.31	
All samples = 0.9 gm. 82.44 - 83.31 - 3	
Volumetric solution aliquots = 0. Average = 82.67 Ppt. correction applied.	9 gm.

Wt.	%	Wt.	9	6
.8809	86.50	.8647	85.	04
.9284	86.45	.6178	84.	85
.9037	85.89	,4862	85.	15
.9013	87.22	.3468	87.	61
.9007	82.56	.9069	88.	60
		.9166	88.	65
		Average	= 86.23	
Ppt. correction	applied.	_		
	••			
	_	Soan I ve Crud	e	
	A Typical	Soap Lye Crud		
	A Typical	Soap Lye Crud = 85.0 (Acet		
Wt	A Typical % Glycerol			
.700	A Typical % Glycerol Min.	= 85.0 (Acet	in)	
	A Typical % Glycerol . Min.	= 85.0 (Acet centrifuged	in) %	
.700	A Typical % Glycerol . Min. 5 4	= 85.0 (Acet centrifuged 10	in) <u>%</u> 86.8	
.700 1.141	A Typical % Glycerol . Min. 5 4 2	= 85.0 (Acet centrifuged 10 10	in) % 86.8 86.5	

A.O.C.S. GLYCERIN ANALYSIS COMMITTEE-(1939-1940)

Ralph W. Bailey-Stillwell & Gladding, Inc., 130 Cedar Street, New York

Kanja, H. Banky, "Stinken a Glauning, Inc., 136 Cedar Street, New Tork City, N. Y.
H. C. Bennett--Los Angeles Soap Company, 617 East First Street, Los Angeles, California
J. E. Doherty--Lever Brothers Company, Cambridge, Mass.
W. J. Reese--Colgate-Palmolive-Peet Co., Kansas City, Kansas.
M. L. Sheely--Armour Soap Works, 1355 W. 31st Street, Chicago, Illinois.
C. C. Zeigler-Swift & Company, Union Stock Yards, Chicago, Illinois.
B. S. Van Zile--Colgate-Palmolive-Peet Co., 105 Hudson Street, Jersey City, N. J.
J. T. R. Andrews (Chairman)--Procter & Gamble Company, Ivorydale, Ohio.

Quality Changes in the Industrial Storage of Crude and Refined Cottonseed Oil

By R. R. KING

PLANT SUPERINTENDENT, INTERSTATE COTTON OIL REFINING COMPANY, SHERMAN, TEXAS

INTRODUCTION

Fats and oils are known to deteriorate to a certain extent on age, depending upon the conditions of storage. Rancidity and other off quality developments on ageing various animal and vegetable oils and fats have been discussed rather widely in the past years, and such factors as light, heat, presence of moisture, auto-oxidation, contact with air, and contact with various metals, have been shown to be the primary causes of these quality changes.

Investigators have shown that crude vegetable oils have certain anti-oxidants naturally present which are largely removed in caustic refining. This finding has led to the conclusion by some persons that when vegetable oils must necessarily be stored, it is more advisable to store them in the crude state rather than as refined, or further processed. On the other hand, others much prefer to store oil as refined rather than as crude, because of previous unsatisfactory experience in storing crude. This latter opinion seems to be the most prevalent.

The present work is divided into two parts: namely, the quality changes in crude and refined cottonseed oil on age, stored under normal atmospheric conditions in (1) small 4 lb. pails and (2) large industrial storage tanks. The various oils used in these tests represent normal receipts of crude cottonseed oil from Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Arkansas, during the 1939-40 season. Atmospheric and plant conditions of storage represent a normal North Texas Year from September to September.

It is not the purpose of this work to theorize or explain the quality changes shown, but merely to report these changes under the practical conditions of storage encountered, and to discuss the results as applied to manufacturing operations.

SMALL SAMPLE STORAGE PROCEDURE

Four-pound samples of freshly received crude, together with four-pound samples of the corresponding laboratory refined oil from the respective crudes, were stored in four-pound tin pails. The lids of these pails were punched with small holes for normal aeration, covered with inverted 100 lb. cans to exclude light and to minimize daily temperature changes, and stored on the roof of a building.

The crude oil stored represented "as is" samples from tank cars. The refined oil was refined from this crude by the official N.C.P.A. method, and stored after filter paper filtration.

Four sets of samples representing new crop crude were stored in this manner from September 1, to April 1, (7 months). Three other sets of samples representing end of season crude were stored similarly from February 1 to August 1 (6 months).

After these respective storage periods the crude oil was laboratory refined in the same manner in which the stored refined oil was produced. Then all refined oils were laboratory bleached using the official N.C.P.A. procedure, except using 2% of natural Texas fuller's earth. These bleached samples were used for the stability tests shown. Conventional or official laboratory procedure was used throughout.

SMALL SAMPLE STORAGE RESULTS

The following results are shown in the data of Table I regarding the relative merits of storing crude vs. refined cottonseed oil:

1.) The average F.F.A. on the stored crude rose .2% while the rise on the stored refined oil was almost negligible, except in one unexplainable case

january, 1941_

..... oil æ soap

						Settle-	Other											Swift	t
		Source of	Date of			ment Loss				į	Per.		Peroxid	e No. Age			,		100°C.) er No. @
Analysis as	sis as	Crude	Analysis	as Cr. as Ref.	F.F.A.	%	% C	Color-R B	Bleach-R	Flavor	No.	½ week	1 week 2	2 weeks 3	3 weeks 4	4 weeks 5	5 weeks R	Rancidity H	Rancidity
Crude Crude Refined Refined Ref. & Bl. ** Ref. & Bl. **	୧ ଇଟିକ	Central Texas Central Texas Central Texas Central Texas Central Texas Central Texas Central Texas	Sept. 1, 1939 Apr. 1, 1940 Sept. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940	7 70	1.1 1.1 .03	4.4 1.8	7.3	6.0 5.1	61 61 61 61	Prime Off Off	36.7 3.3 3.3 39.1		24.9 49.7	55.2 58.9	64.4* 86.0*			13X 4X	80.0 91.0
Crude Crude Refined Refined Ref. & Bl. ** Ref. & Bl. **	(<u>)</u> () () () () () () () () () (South Texas South Texas South Texas South Texas South Texas South Texas	Sept. 1, 1939 Apr. 1, 1940 Sept. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940	0 2 1 2 1	.7 .8 .03 .03 .03	4.4 4.6 	4.6 4.8 	4.9 5.6 4.8 4.8 excess me	1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 meal)	Prime Off Prime Off	36.2 3.1 3.1		27.0 46.3	72.8*	76.0*			11 22 22	59.0 83.0
Crude Crude Refined Refined Ref. & BI. ** Ref. & BI. **	(¥) (8)	East Texas East Texas East Texas East Texas East Texas East Texas	Sept. 1, 1939 Apr. 1, 1940 Sept. 1, 1939 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940	0 7 7 7	1.3	5.6 6.9	6.0 7.0	489480 10044	35.25	Prime Off Off	47.5 3.6 3.6		26.0 42.3	55.2 71.3*	76.5*			1155 374	46.0
Crude Crude Refined Refined Ref. & Bl. ** Ref. & Bl. **	(§ (8)	Louisiana Louisiana Louisiana Louisiana Louisiana Louisiana	Sept. 1, 1939 Apr. 1, 1940 Sept. 1, 1939 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940 Apr. 1, 1940	7 7 7 7	03 03	4.4 6.1	44 7.86	5.0 5.0 4.3	4 1 1	Prime Off Prime Off	40.5 2.7 41.5		25.3 52.9	51.8 51.1*	79.0*			1234 375	71.0 90.0
Crude Crude Refined Refined Ref. & Bl.** Ref. & Bl.**	êşê ş	Oklahoma Oklahoma Oklahoma Oklahoma Oklahoma Oklahoma Oklahoma	Feb. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Feb. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940	e e e e e e	1.1 1.1 03 03	6.9	7.2 8.9	5.4 3.1 3.1	2.12 1.2 1.4	Prime Sl. off Sl. off Sl. off	89.0 84.1 24.0		7.0	15.9	29.0	38.0	51.0*	122	87.5 40.0
Crude Crude Refined Refined Ref. & Bl. ** Ref. & Bl. **	BEB F	N. E. Texas N. E. Texas N. E. Texas N. E. Texas N. E. Texas N. E. Texas N. E. Texas	Feb. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Feb. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940	6 6 6 6	02 03 03	9.2	9.5 9.4	2.9	1.4 2.7 1.3 1.3	Prime Sl. off Sl. off Sl. off	68.0 68.0 32.5 32.5	40.0*	8.5	20.1	32.5	43.1	55.0*	3 3	88.0 95.0
Crude Crude Refined Refned Ref. & Bl. ** Ref. & Bl. **	ହ ଇତିଥି	West Texas West Texas West Texas West Texas West Texas West Texas	Feb. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Feb. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940 Aug. 1, 1940	0 6 6 6 	9.1 .02 .03	6.7 6.5	6.7 7.0	2.9	1.9 2.6 1.9 1.4	Prime Sl. off Prime Sl. off	67.0 3.0 25.1	6.6 41.0*	8.0	 17.5	27.8	37.6	50.0	3112	92.5 113.0
** Laboratory	Bleached	** Laboratory Bleached using 2% natural Texas fuller's earth	exas fuller's earth.									*Org	*()rganoleptic Rancidity Point	Rancidity	Point				

TABLE Nº. I. QUALITY CHANGES AND HISTORY OF CRUDE AND REFINED COTTONSEED OIL STORED ATMOSPHERICALLY IN SMALL CONTAINERS

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	trotsiH to 19		D Storage-1	Max. c	capacity		2,000,000	lbs	ll)sContentsCrude	tsCm		cottonseed	lio					ਸ਼	Storage-	-Max	, capac	ity—2,(000,000	-Max. capacity-2,000,000 lbsContents-Crude cottonseed	tents	-Crude	cottonse	ced oil	
1. 1. <t< td=""><td>1, 1939</td><td></td><td>Lbs. in Storage</td><td></td><td>settlement %</td><td></td><td>Refined Color-R.</td><td>Official 0</td><td>F.</td><td></td><td></td><td>EMAR</td><td>KS</td><td></td><td></td><td>Avg. Age</td><td>Lbs. in Storage</td><td>v 4 3</td><td>ettlement Settlement Loss %</td><td>du D'iner C</td><td>Color-R.</td><td>Official Bleach-R.</td><td></td><td></td><td>RE</td><td>MARF</td><td>s</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1, 1939		Lbs. in Storage		settlement %		Refined Color-R.	Official 0	F.			EMAR	KS			Avg. Age	Lbs. in Storage	v 4 3	ettlement Settlement Loss %	du D'iner C	Color-R.	Official Bleach-R.			RE	MARF	s		
1. 1930. 61 0 650,00 65			3		I i			2									175,0						Fillin	g tank d	rect fr	no uto.	rrently	received	I tank
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1, 1939		0	1	ſ			1								!	650,0		:	ļ	!		Fillin	s. g tank d	rect fi	no mo	crently	received	ł tank
1. 194034 980.000 0.8 5.7 5.0 10 Fillion transk directly from currently received 2 1.900.000 0.3 5.1 Stored untouched. 1. 1940751 1. 1990.000 0.8 5.7 5.0 10 Filling and this and storage. 3 1.900.000 0.7 5.0 5.0 15 Stored untouched. 1. 1940761 4 1.900.000 0.8 5.7 5.0 10 Filling and this and the storage. 3 1.900.000 0.7 5.3 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.15 Stored untouched. 1. 1940766 1. 1940766 1. 1940.000 0.8 5.7 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	1, 1940		740,000		1		1		Fillin		~	ty fro	m cur	rently.	received		1,900,0						This	s. analysis :	verage	of all	cars pu	t in sto	rage.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1, 1940		980,000						Fillin	g tan	dir	tly fro	m cur		received		1,900.0						Store	l untoucl	ed.				
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	1, 1940 1, 1940 1, 1940 1, 1940 (2	of	1,830,00 1,900,00 1,900,00 oil was	0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 isuned	8 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6.3 6.1 6.3 fined)	5.0 5.8 6.0	2.19	This Store Store All or	f this c	-avg. hed. ude r	if all ci fined in	urs put May.	in stor	rage.	64N :	1,900.0 1,900.0 940,0 (Part			5.5 5.2 refined	5.5 5.6	1.5 1.9	Store Store 1,000, Rema storage)	l untouch l untouch 000 lbs. ining oil	ed. ed. refined refined	durin I durin	g April g May.		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	د ک	<	Мах.	capacit	ly 1,0(00,000		Conter		fined (m Cru				ပ	Storage	Мах. с	apacity	330,00((Conten	tsRefi	ned CSO	from	Crude	Stored	in E S	Storage
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	oteiH 10		Lbs. in Storage	Е.Е.А. %	Per. No.	Color-R.	Official Steach-R.	Flavor	səitiruqml	% Noisture%	% Volatile	P.P.M. Soap	Twk	er No. / 140° 2 wk	Aged at F. 3 wk	;		v ±1 2 %	Per. Xo.	.A-roloC	Afficial Bleach-R.		səitirnqm)	% Xoisture X		dsoc M. q. c	Per I 1	Vo. Are 40° F.	od at 3 wbs
A Storage Bottoms-same as above except sample taken at very bottom 	1, 1940 1, 1940 15, 1940 1, 1940 1, 1940 5, 1940		$\begin{smallmatrix}&&&0\\&&&&&\\&&&&&\\1,060,000\\&&&&&\\&&&&&\\970,000\\&&&&&&\\0\end{smallmatrix}$	0000	0.8 3.5 4,4	6.2 5.5 5.5	10 m 0 m 1	Prime Prime Prime	1			s refine 36 71	id and 18.0 41.5*	put inte 35.0*	o storage		0 0	0 00 00 00 00 00 00	3 0.8 13 3.3 2 1.4 2 1.4		2.5 into st	Prim Prim Prim Prim	1				put int 19.0	0 stora 30.0*	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
71 1 1,060,000 04 4.2 6.2 2.0 Prime None .11 63 29.0 49.0 90.0* 2 280,000 .02 1.4 6.1 1.9 Prime None .11 236 16.8 *Organoleptic rancid point on rapidly aged refined oil as sampled.		A Sto	rage Bottom	ISsam	as		except	sampl	e taken		104	n				-	C	storage	Bottom		e as ab	ove but	sample	taken at		ottom			
	1		1,060,000 Organoleptic	04 rancid	4.2 l point	6.2 : on ra		Prime aged re	None cfined o	None il as sé	.11 unpled.	63	29.0	49.0	90.04	1	280,0					1			E.	236	16.8	25.5*	

(.03 to .17%). On the new crop oil, both as crude and as refined, the F.F.A. rise was less than on end of season oil. However, the end of season oil was stored in warmer months.

- 2.) The average refining loss on the stored crude increased about .6% although results were quite variable; some samples increasing none and others increasing as much as 1.5% with no apparent reason for the differences, including the presence of meal in the original crude.
- 3.) The average refined color on the stored crude rose 1.3 red while on the stored refined oil it dropped 1.2 red. The greatest changes in colors were apparent on the end of season oils which were, however, stored in the warmest months.
- 4.) The average official bleach on the stored crude rose .6 while on the stored refined oil it rose only .3 red. This rise in official bleach on both stored crude and refined oil varied from zero to 1.0 red without any apparent reason for the difference.
- 5.) No conclusions are made from the peroxide number development in storage or development on rapidly aged samples at 140° F., since the induction period cannot be ascertained from the limited data, and further, since it is doubtful whether peroxide development bears any direct relationship to stability under these noncomparable conditions.
- 6.) The average organoleptic rancid point on one set of samples rapidly aged at 140° F. was 3 weeks on bleached oil from stored crude and 2 weeks on bleached oil from stored refined oil. On another set, the extreme difference of 5 weeks on bleached oil from stored crude vs. ½ week on bleached oil from stored refined oil was noted.
- 7.) The average rancid point with the Swift Stability test was 11 hours on bleached oil from stored crude and 3 hours on bleached oil from stored refined oil.

SMALL SAMPLES STORAGE CONCLUSIONS

From the results of the small sample storage tests it is concluded that:

- 1.) From the standpoint of refining loss, free fatty acid development, and bleachability, it is more desirable to store cottonseed oil as refined than as crude.
- 2.) From the standpoint of stability, as measured by organoleptic rancidity development, it is much more desirable to store cottonseed oil as crude than as refined. (Note later contradictory results.)
- 3.) Cottonseed oil definitely deteriorates in storage regardless of whether stored as crude or as refined. The refined color rise in stored crude, as compared with the color drop in stored refined oil, may or may not be objectionable and might even indicate greater stability for the crude storage if the color change cycle were known. Again, this color change may be independent of the color cycle resulting from oxidation, being merely a solution of colored particles during storage.

INDUSTRIAL STORAGE PROCEDURE

In the past season's plant refining operations a certain amount of the current receipts of crude cottonseed oil were stored for 3 to 5 months in large outside storage tanks. The crude stored represented middle season production and only the best quality crude received during the months of December, January, and February, were selected for storage. Selection was based on crude being free from suspended meal or foreign matter and having an official laboratory bleach of 1.0 red or under. These selected cars of crude were pumped directly from tank cars to storage tanks without any treatment. After the storage period this crude was plant refined, and a certain portion of the produced refined oil from this stored crude was subsequently stored in outside storage tanks for a period of about 2 months.

The remainder of the season's crude receipts not stored was currently refined as received, and a certain portion of this freshly refined oil was stored in large outside storage tanks for periods as long as nine months.

All plant refining of the crude cottonseed oil, whether from currently received or stored crude, was done in the same conventional centrifugal refining procedure using Sharples equipment, double water washed, vacuum dried, and the produced refined oil pumped to storage directly after drying without filtration.

All outside storage tanks used for storing the crude or refined oil were conventional sheet iron tanks of capacities between one and $2\frac{1}{2}$ million pounds of oil each. None of these tanks were equipped with heating coils, so no heat was applied during the storage period. The stored oils were untouched during their respective storage periods.

All tanks were sampled monthly by compositing depth samples at every five feet of oil in the tanks, and laboratory analyses were run promptly. Conventional or official laboratory procedure was used throughout.

INDUSTRIAL STORAGE RESULTS

The following results are shown in the data of Table II regarding the quality changes occurring in the storage of crude oil and subsequent storage of the refined oil produced from this stored crude:

- 1.) The F.F.A. on the stored crude or the subsequently stored refined oil did not change during storage.
- 2.) The refining loss on the stored crude rose very slightly in storage, averaging only .2% increase.
- 3.) The average refined color on the stored crude increased 1.0 red in storage while the color of the subsequently refined oil decreased .5 red in storage.
- 4.) The average official bleach on the stored crude increased 1.0 red (1.0 to 2.0), and on the subsequently stored refined oil the official bleach showed a slight increase although the data are confusing.
- 5.) No appreciable change in flavor, either on the stored crude or subsequently stored refined oil, could be noted.

The following results are shown in the data of Table III regarding the quality changes occurring in the storage of refined cottonseed oil produced from unstored crude:

- 1.) There was no appreciable change in F.F.A.
- 2.) There was no appreciable change in color.
- 3.) There was no appreciable change in the official laboratory bleach.
- 4.) No appreciable change in flavor could be noted.

Comparing the data on Table II and Table III, the following results are shown regarding the comparative

oil & soap.

______january, 1941

	l at 3 wks.	34.4* 39.9* 57.7*
crude	eq.	
fresh o	er No. Ag 140° F	
from	Soap P.P.M. ₩ ₹	
CS0	»litsloV %	As refined and put As refined and put vone .09 33 vone .09 163 vone .09 163 vone .09 163 vone .09 164 Vace .10 120 Refined CSO from s refined and put i s refined and put i s vone .06 29 vone .06 29 vone .06 29
Refined	% Moisture	As refined an None 09 None 09 None 09 Trace 10 Trace 10 As refined CSO As refined and None 06 None 06 None 06
nts-R		None As re None As re None None None None None None None None None Trace Some Trace Ontents- Refin ontents- Refin one As refin None None Trace None Trace None
Contents	2 səitiruqml	
lbs.	Flavor	 11.9 Prime 2.5 Prime 2.6 Prime 2.0 Prime 2.1 Prime 2.1 Prime 1.2 Prime 1.1 Prime 1.1 Prime 1.2 Prime 2.5 Prime
2,500,000	Official Bleach-R,	* 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.
	Color-R.	
capacity.	Per No.	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
-Max.	F.F.A.	004 004 005 006 004 004 004 004 004 004 004 004 004
G Storage-Max.	Lbs. in stg.	0 2560,000 2.500,000 2.500,000 2.500,000 2.500,000 2.500,000 2.500,000 1. Storage- 1. S
	98A .8vA. RoM.	0-10w4w2v2
	l at 3 wks.	46.0* 306* 51.0*
h crude	Per No. Aged at 140° F. k. 2 wks. 3 w	, and
m fresh	Per I wk.	into storage 2010 2013 2100 2013 2100 2013 2100 331 217.6 331 217.6 331 217.6 331 177.6 331 177.6 331 177.6 331 17.6 331 17.6 331 17.6 331 17.6 331 17.6 331 17.6 31 17.6 31 18.0 231 18.0 231 18.0 231 17.6 31 18.0 231 18.0 231 18.0 231 19.0 20 10.0 20 10
CSO from	.M.J.I qso2	and put i 1074 1177 1
	Volatife	္က သက္ႏုိင္ငံ က ျခတ္က ျခတ္က ႏုိင္ငံ က ျခင္း
s—Refi	% Moisture	As refined As refined None 067 None 067 Trace Trace I as sample I as sample trace 08 None 08 N
-ContentsRefined	2mpurities	
IbsC		
2,500,000	Bleach-R.	
1	Color-R. O∰cial	
capacity	Per. No.	S 55.4 12 5 5 5 4 12 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
	% F.F.A.	
Storage-Max.	Lbs. in stg.	500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 400,000 60,000 60,000 60,000 60,000 500,0000 500,0000 500,0000 500,00000000
F	98A .8vA. .soM	* * 1 20,00,00,00 × 1 20,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,0
•du	Avg. Atm. Te °F. Past Mo.	8881 8881 8881 8881 8881 8881 8881 8881 881
		Oct. 1, 1939 New. 1, 1939 Fab. 1, 1940 Mar. 1, 1940 Mar. 1, 1940 Mar. 1, 1940 Mar. 1, 1940 June 1, 1940 June 1, 1940 June 1, 1940 June 1, 1940 Mar.
	Date of Sampl Date of Sampl	Nov. 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,

20

quality changes in stored refined oil produced from stored crude vs. fresh crude:

- 1.) There was no appreciable difference in the two types of oil regarding F.F.A. or flavor change.
- 2.) The refined oil from stored crude indicated a slight rise in color and bleach while no appreciable change in these two characteristics was noted on the refined oil from fresh crude.
- 3.) The average rancid point to organoleptic rancidity on the stored oils as rapidly aged at 140° F. showed 2 weeks for the refined oil produced from stored crude and 3 weeks for the refined oil produced from fresh crude.
- 4.) Peroxide number development on the two types of refined oil during these storage periods showed a more rapid development in the oil produced from the stored crude than from the freshly refined crude.
- 5.) The peroxide number development on rapidly aged samples at 140° F. was greater in the case of the stored refined oil produced from stored crude than that produced from fresh crude, although the data are limited and somewhat confusing.
- 6.) No conclusions are made from the data shown on moisture, volatile matter, impurities or soap content as the data are too limited or erratic.

INDUSTRIAL STORAGE CONCLUSIONS

From the results of quality changes in cottonseed oil stored in large industrial storage tanks, it is concluded that:

- 1.) Good quality crude cottonseed oil can be successfully stored for 5 months at least in cool but not necessarily cold weather without any appreciable change in F.F.A., refining loss, color, or flavor; but the ease of bleaching is noticeably decreased and the stability of the oil is probably decreased appreciably.
- 2.) Refined cottonseed oil produced from good quality stored crude can be successfully stored, but the ease of bleaching and stability will be somewhat inferior to that of stored refined oil produced from average fresh crude.
- 3.) Most quality characteristics studied indicate that it is more desirable to store cottonseed oil as refined than as crude. No quality characteristic studied indicated the desirability of storing crude.

PLANT OPERATING NOTES AND CONCLUSIONS

On refining the stored crude oil, plant data indicated that the refining loss may have been slightly higher than if the crude had been refined fresh. However, this observation, as measured by comparison with the entire season's refining losses by months, was within the limits of error on plant data and other related information to be considered. The increase in loss, if any, probably did not exceed .3%.

No deviations from normal refining behavior could be noticed. The relationship between laboratory and plant colors, and bleached colors, seemed to be normal.

In plant bleaching of the refined oil produced from the stored crude, it was found to be somewhat more difficult to get the same color as compared with bleaching comparable stored refined oil produced from fresh crude. This tendency toward lesser color drop in bleaching seemed also to be reflected in deodorization. Standard Shortening made from refined and bleached stored crude did not drop in color during deodorization as much as comparable shortening at the same pre-deodorizing color made from stored refined and bleached oil from fresh crude.

All refined oil stored was clear and free from visible impurities at the time of initial storage, although none of the oil stored was filtered. However, when the storage tanks were emptied after the storage period, some impurities had settled to the bottom of all tanks; although the bulk of the oil above the bottom foot of depth was still clear and free from impurities.

No operating differences between the processing of the two types of oil could be noticed in hydrogenation, winterization, or plasticization.

No conclusion can be made as to any differences in stability of packed products using the two types of oil, as routine data indicative of stability showed only normal results.

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

If cottonseed oil is to be stored industrially, it is preferable to do so in the refined state rather than as crude, considering almost all quality and economic factors involved.

The advantages of storing cottonseed oil as crude, from a stability point of view as shown by the results of the small sample storage tests, are contradicted by the results of the large industrial storage tests. The writer emphasizes the results of the latter tests under large scale operating conditions, minimizing the results of the small sample storage tests, to the conclusion that storing cottonseed oil as crude lessens the stability of the subsequently refined oil as compared with stored refined oil made from comparable fresh crude.

Good quality crude cottonseed oil can be stored industrially under the conditions herein described without any prohibitive deterioration in quality characteristics or marked increase in subsequent processing costs.